





RELIABILITY OF A NEWLY DEVELOPED PROTOCOL FOR FIBEROPTIC **ENDOSCOPIC EVALUATION OF SWALLOWING IN PARKINSON'S DISEASE**

Janine A Simons ¹, Stefan von Clarmann ², Tobias Warnecke ³
¹ Department of Neurology, Center of Brain, Behavior and Metabolism, Universität zu Lübeck, Germany ² Center for Geriatric Medicine, CNS Diseases and Mobility, Mühlendorf a. Inn District Clinics, Haag, OB, Germany ³ Department of Neurology, University Hospital of Muenster, Germany

BACKGROUND

- Dysphagia as a frequent and clinically relevant symptom of Parkinson's disease (PD) is leading to various threats to health and reduction in quality of life.
- Although the penetrationaspiration scale has become a standard for fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing (FEES), it fails to identify beginning oropharyngeal symptoms.



A new protocol for FEES specified for dysphagia diagnosis among PD patients (PARK-FEES) was recently developed in a prior study among 142 PD patients Ref 1, which is now aimed to be investigated for inter-coder agreement. Tab 1

Table 1 PARK-FEES study phases

Phase I – Development PARK-FEES		
Part 1 – FEES protocol construction, N=20* Step 1 – Parameter generation for symptom scales, incl. gold standard Step 2 – Modification of generically scales / scales for other diseases, and specification on PD		
Part 2 - Pilot study N=45**		

Step 1 – Feasibility of preliminary PARK-FEES protocol Step 2 – Scales modification (19 parameters)

Part 3 – Main study, N=77** Step 1 – Application of final PARK-FEES protocol Step 2 – Content and construct validity test

Step 3 - German to English translation

Phase II - Re-evaluation PARK-FEES

Part 1 - Inter-coder agreement study, N=77***

Step 1 - Independent offline evaluation of FEES video recordings from main study using PARK-FEES by two FEES experts
Step 2 – Interrater reliability analyses (all three coders & two a

posteriori evaluations only)

Part 2 - Result evaluation (in progress)

Step 1 - Definition of overall severity classification (score algorithm)

*healthy relatives of PD patients, comparison with standard values

**patients diagnosed with PD, according to UK Brain Bank criteria

***video records of PD patients from main study

DISCLOSURE

Simons JA, et al. Development and validation of a new screening questionnaire for dysphagia in early stages of Parkinson's disease. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 2014; 20(9): 992 – 998

Nothing to report

METHODS

- FEES video recordings from 77 PD patients at a German Movement Disorder Center (w/o pre-existing dysphagia, aged 70.47 +/- 8.40 (mean, SD), disease duration 11.19 +/- 6.27 y., median Hoehn & Yahr stage 3) previously evaluated with the new PARK-FEES protocol were re-evaluated independently from 2 experts.
- PARK-FEES contains 10 ordinal parameters to describe early and advanced dysphagia symptoms typically occurring in PD. Tab 2

RESULTS

- 73% of patients presented with swallowing disabilities (44% oropharyngeal symptoms, 29% penetration/aspiration).
- 12 of the 25 estimations achieved a Kalpha above the threshold of .60 indicating good inter-coder agreements when tested in all 3 observers' evaluations (original examination situation and both a posteriori ratings).
- Highest values resulted for "Clearance effectiveness PILL" (Kalpha=.89, CI.78-97), "Residues PILL" (.88; .73-1.00), and "Leakage afterwards BREADmax" (.81, .64-.96).

Table 2 Parameters of PARK-FEES protocol

Parameters (inspection of structure, sensory-reflex-analyses, functional exam, swallowing tests)* Scale **1			
1.	Secretion management	0-4 (0=normal)	
2.	Vocal cord motility ([i:] phonation)	0-2 (0=normal)	
3.	Glottal closure (tightly breath-holding)	0-2 (0=normal)	
4.	Voluntary cough impact	0-2 (0=normal)	
5.	Bolus leakage (H2O, BREAD, COOKIE)	0-4 (0=normal) each, typical & max performance	
6.	Residues (H2O, BREAD, COOKIE, TABBLET, PILL)	0-3 (0=normal) each, typical & max performance	
7.	Clearance effectiveness (H2O, BREAD, COOKIE, TABLET, PILL)	0-4 (0=normal) each, typical & max performance	
8.	Leakage afterwards (H2O, BREAD, COOKIE)	0-4 (0=normal) each, typical & max performance	
9.	Penetration aspiration scale (PAS) (H2O, BREAD, COOKIE)	1-8 (1=normal) each, typical & max performance	
10.	Type of penetration/aspiration: pre-, intra-, post-deglutitive (H2O, BREAD, COOKIE)	0-3 (0=normal) each, typical & max performance	
Test instructions were standardized and performed in medication on state condition. Swallowing samples: 90ml spring water (dyed blue), half slice of bread			

mm) the the severity level for each item. Evaluations were done for typical swallowing performances as well a

Even more parameters can be outlined with excellent interrater reliability by comparing both posteriori observed evaluations pairwise.

- **Examination order was** performed in a standardized procedure incl. anatomicphysiological exam, nutrition ingestion of 3 diff. consistencies, and medication samples.
- Score assessment was done twice in order o distinguish typical swallowing performance from possible outliners (maximum values).
- Interrater reliability was calculated for all parameters, and separately for each consistency using Krippendorff's Alpha (95% Cl; bootstrapping 10k).

CONCLUSIONS

- **Using PARK-FEES enables** clinicians to accurately characterize dysphagia symptoms and supports to differentiate early oropharyngeal from clinically advanced stages.
- Furthermore, it demonstrates a high level of interrater reliability.
- The next step is to define a score classification algorithm for overall assessment of dysphagia severity in order to facilitate interprofessional communication and appropriate treatment strategies.

