

UNIVERSITÄT ZU LÜBECK

How to screen for dysphagia in Parkinson's disease? The Munich Dysphagia Test (MDT-PD) – a patient reported outcome questionnaire Janine A Simons¹, Annika Waldmann¹, Urban M Fietzek²

¹Institute for Social Medicine and Epidemiology, Universität zu Lübeck, Germany ²Centre for Parkinson's Disease and Movement Disorders, Schön Klinik, Munich, Germany

OBJECTIVE

To evaluate the diagnostic performance of a newly developed dysphagia-screening questionnaire specified for patients with Parkinson's disease (PD).

BACKGROUND

- Oropharyngeal dysphagia as well as manifested aspiration frequently occur in patients with PD.
- Especially symptoms of the early clinical syndrome are still widely underdiagnosed, leading to significant threats to health, such as aspiration pneumonia, malnutrition, or reduced quality of life.
- There are no disease-specific and sufficiently validated screening procedures available.

METHODS

- The project comprised the development (N=105) and validation phases (N=82) of the Munich Dysphagia Test (MDT-PD, **Figure 1**).
- PD patients were recruited at a German center for movement disorders; enrollment for validation purpose was consecutively (in-/out-/day-care patients).
- Patients were assessed by clinical swallowing tests and fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation using standardized protocols (90ml water, ¹/₂ slice crusted bread, dry cookie, placebo pills).
- They were assigned to the groups 'no dysphagia (N)', 'oropharyngeal dysphagia (OD)', and 'dysphagia with penetration/aspiration (P/A)' along their severity grades of underlying rating-scales.
- Resulted criteria sum scores were compared against the results of the previously answered MDT-PD.
- The internal consistency was evaluated and the diagnostic quality computed for the detection of noticeable OD, or the risk of aspiration, and proved by cross-validation.

CONTACT	Dr. phil. Janine Simons,	Email Janine.Simons@uksh
REFERENCE 1.	Simons JA, et al. Developm	nent and validation of a new
DISCLOSURE	Nothing to report.	

Figure 2 MDT-PD self-reporting outcome questionnaire MDT-PD Munich Dysphagia Test– Parkinson's Disease Further swallo onger in my mo or the complete swallowing of food/ liquid ood residues remain in my mouth after ng the swallowing process, food gets stuck can only sw or after) eating food I have to hawk/ have a reduc g (or after) drinking liquids (or eating soup) I have probler ourping, sense s that I have difficulties breathing/ f suffocation when swallowing food or sense of pres ht after eating food/ drinking liquids my voice Nithin the last dependent from food intake drink less that day. (equal to su water, juice, tea, o

	Thave a very dry mouth not enough saliva.									
13.	It happens that I cough or have trouble breathing because I have choked on my saliva/ saliva went into my trachea.	0	1	2	3					filled in by doctor/ therapis
14.	I have problems swallowing pills.	0	1	2	3				questio MDT-PD su	
									(26 Ite Diagno	
	MDT-PD : Munich dysphagia test – Parkinson's disease – A.Janine Simons www.mdt-parkinson.de	s (2012)			2 von 3		Munich dysphagia test – parkinson.de	Parkinson's disease – A.J.	anine Simons (2012)	
_							F !			×
Figu	ure 1 MDT-PD project p	hases	s (N =	187)			- Fig	ure 3 Mil	DT-PD web	o app*
Ph	ase I – Development						••••	oo Telekom.d	le ᅙ 16:26 mdt-parkins	<i>v</i>
Pa	rt 1 – Questionnaire and dia;	mostic	scale c	onstru	ction					
	p 1 – Questionnaire item gene	ration/	semi-stı			views,		MDT		Navigat
N = 10+10*: initial 46-item version Step 2 - Psychometric tests/questionnaires, N = 20;										
modification and reduction: 39-item version								AGIA TEST		
Step 3 – Parameter generation for clinical symptom scale and FEES incl. gold standard (10+19 parameters), N = 20*					Par	rkinson's	Disease			
*he	*healthy relatives of PD patients					1	OVE	RVIEW		
					-					
	Part 2 – Pilot study, $N = 45$					e	INF	ORMATIO	N	
ste	Step 1 – Testing construct validity and proceeding feasibility/ precision study of questionnaire and diagnostic scale drafts						_			
Step 2 – Questionnaire item reduction to final 26-item version							QUE	ESTIONNA	AIRE	
Step 3 – Diagnostic scales modification (29 parameters)							_	_		
Phase II – Validation						I	I STA	TISTICS		
Val	lidation study, $N = 82$									
	 Reliability analysis Dysphagia classifications 	& crit	eria sum	1 score			E P	DOV	WNLOAD	
	- Validation procedure: wei	ighting	ofitem	s, corre		with				
	criteria sum score, discriminatory analyses of items, determination of cut-off values, cross-validation					Q		<s< td=""><td></td></s<>		
Ad	Add on									
	 German to English translation by decentering method Web-app programming for result evaluation 						Help	eMail Legal	Sprache	

+49 451 500 5870, www.sozmed.uni-luebeck.de, www.mdt-parkinson.de,

screening questionnaire for dysphagia in early stages of Parkinson's disease. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 2014; 20(9): 992 – 998



	Date:					
		141 - 14 TE				
owing-specific and accompanying BURDEN	l disagree	l somewhat agree	I mostly agree	l strongly agree		
phases (Off-drug-state/ declining levodopa- ore difficulties to swallow.	0	1	2	3		
c foods or textures that often make nuts, crumb cake, liquid-filled pralines, raw	0	1		3		
me to hawk/ cough after I choke ar my throat.	0	1	2	3		
akes me more time to eat than it ecause I have to chew longer/ foods are th due to longer preparation time or more g)	0	1	2	3		
t I get tired during meals p) and don't finish chewing and food.	0	1	2	3		
have to have liquids to he food in order to be able to	0	1	2	3		
llow liquids in small sips.	0	1	2	3		
ed appetite or pleasure to eat than of taste and smell are potentially affected)	0	1	2	3		
ns, such as heartburn/ frequent e of lump in the throat/ esophagus, sure behind the breastbone.	0	1	2	3		

Swallowing-specific HEALTH QUESTIONS	no, I don't agree	yes, I agree
year I had a lung infection or nfections.	0	3
oose body weight.	.0	3
n 50 oz. of liquid during a given ggested minimum of 7 - 8 glasses/ cups coffee, soup)	0	3

Poster-PDF: www.janine-simons.de & QR Code

RESULTS

- and scored 29.5 \pm 13.3 in the UPDRS motor part.
- Dysphagia prevalence was 73% (44% OD, 29% P/A).
- with similar results in cross-validation (Table 1).

Table 1 MDT-PD Diagnostic quality

Dysphagia groups	not noticeable noticeable (OI		not noticeable (N) vs. risk of aspiration (P/A)					
	Validation	Cross-validation	Validation	Cross-validation				
Sens (CI)	82.4%	82.4%	90.0%	90.0%				
	(0.696–0.952)	(0.696–0.952)	(0.775–1.025)	(0.775–1.025)				
Spec (Cl)	71.4%	61.9%	85.7%	81.0%				
	(0.521–0.907)	(0.411–0.827)	(0.707–1.007)	(0.642–0.978)				
PPV / NPV	82.4% / 71.4%	77.8% / 68.4%	87.0% / 90.0%	81.8% / 89.5%				
YI	0.54	0.44	0.76	0.71				
LR+ / LR-	2.9 / 0.2	2.2 / 0.3	6.3 / 0.1	4.7 / 0.1				
Cut off	3.65	3.63	4.79	4.75				
Sensitivity (Sens), specificity (Spec), confidence interval (CI), positive/negative predictive value (PPV/ NPV), You don index (XI), likelihood ratio ((LB) ()) out off point (Cut off)								

CONCLUSION

- dysphagia severity.
- additionally.
- Questionnaire & web app is available in German & English language.





The 26-item questionnaire MDT-PD (Figure 2) showed high internal consistency (Cronbach's Alpha 0.91). • Patients of the validation study (N=82) aged 70.9 \pm 8.7 (mean \pm SD), were Hoehn & Yahr stage of 3 in median A positive correlation was found between the criteria sum score and weighted MDT-PD sum score (r= 0.70, p<0.001). Diagnostic quality to discriminate between N and OD as well as N and P/A resulted in good to very high values,

In medical practice the MDT-PD¹ can be used for a valid detection of dysphagia and initial graduation of

Patient's answers can be easily evaluated using a web application (Figure 3); clinical interpretation is provided

*** FOCUS ON LIFE**

